1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	THOMAS EUGENE MOORE,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-02-0007 JAM DAD P
12	VS.
13	A.A. LAMARQUE, et al.,
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On July 16, 2008, petitioner filed a document styled, "Motion To Regard The
17	Over-200 Pages Of Exhibits As The Supporting Document Exhibits To The May 19, 2008 Sixth
18	Amended Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus" (Doc. No. 98), which the court construes as a
19	request for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's amended order, filed July 3, 2008, denying
20	plaintiff's May 19, 2008 motion for judicial notice (Doc. No. 84). Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule
21	72-303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to
22	law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate
23	judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
24	/////
25	/////
26	/////
- 1	

Case 2:02-cv-00007-JAM-DAD Document 103 Filed 10/22/08 Page 2 of 2

1	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
2	magistrate judge, filed July 3, 2008, is affirmed.
3	DATED: 10/22/2008
4	
5	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	/moor0007.850.98
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	